I would suggest that the House should take some more time to consider this matter. There will be another meeting of this House within a couple of months or three months at the most as there is a tremendous volume of legislative business pending. For the moment, I am not thinking of the religious side of the question or any other aspect, but purely from the point of view of draftsmanship so that it may not be open to any criticism by courts. Very often courts are act to say that the legislature should have known better, and they cannot go beyond the four corners of the Section. We ought not hurry and push through a particular portion of the bill which may not be regarded as conducing to the dignity and the legislative capacity of this honourable House. I am suggesting that because this House has waited so long and will be meeting again very shortly, honourable members may consider the matter more deeply and put forward their respective contentions.

I am sure that adequate legal formulae will be found and both sides could put forward arguments and the House may accept the best solution possible.

MR. JOSEPH VITHAYATHIL: In view of the pronouncements from the Chair I move for a postponement of the further discussions on this Bill.

SRIMATHI T. NARAYANI AMMA: I accept it Sir.

The motion was put and carried.

THE TRAVANCORE CHITTIES ACT (AMENDMENT) BILL.

MR. E. P. VARGHESE: Sir, under Standing Order 34 I move for leave to introduce the Travancore Chitties Act. and I move that the Bill be read in the Assembly.

MR. C. JEBAMONY NADAR: I second it.

MR. PUTHUPALLY S. KRISHNA PILLAI: On this question, I wish to make a few observations. It is a one clause bill and seeks to amend the Chitties Act. From the statement of objects and reasons it appears that its intention is to give some sort of redress to the defaulting subscribers. When the debt relief question itself is in the hands of an expert committee who will be going into all these questions in great detail it is for the honourable members to consider whether we should proceed with the further stages of the bill or whether it cannot be postponed with advantage for a future date. I can further assure the honourable members that this matter will be brought to the pointed attention of the Committee now appointed.

PRESIDENT: In otherwords, it is suggested that this question will be considered by the Committee first and then by the House.

MR. E. P. VARGHESE In view of the assurance given by the LegalRemembrancer, I agree to the postponement of the further consideration of the Bill.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly